

# IOWA BALANCE OF STATE COC INTERIM BOARD MEETING

Draft Minutes

Wednesday, May 27, 2020, 2:00pm

Location: GoToMeeting; <https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/950184685>

Or by phone: (872) 240-3311; Access Code: 950-184-685

## Board Members

- Allison Hannah
- Amber Lewis(ex-officio, non-voting)
- Ben Brustkern
- Crissy Canganelli
- Dennis Lauterbach
- Hope Metheny
- Kerri Rupe
- Monalisa McGee
- Scott Mather

### I. Introductions

Board Members Present: Allison Hannah, Ben Brustkern, Crissy Canganelli, Dennis Lauterbach, Hope Metheny, Kerri Rupe, Mona McGee, Scott Mather

Staff Present: Courtney Guntly, Jessica Bleile, Julie Eberbach, Gary Wickering

Others Present: Cassandra Kramer, Patti Robinson, Bronis Perteit, Ashley Odom, Gina, Ryan Bobst, Cecelia Aguilera, Mariliegh Fisher, Amanda Hohmann, Lori Rinehart, Kayla McKinney, Kim Motl, Mindy Paces, Jennifer Morris, Chelsea Stratton, Grace Fee, Holly McPherson, Jan Zeleke, Mary Ingham, Kourtney Kirkpatrick, Heather Harney, Delaney Dixon, Carrie Slagle, Cody Crawford, Linda Munden, Mark Sertterh, Lisa Nafziger, Sara DeLuna, Jennifer Tibbetts, KarLee Kearns, Kasey Jennings, Malia Dunn, Tim Wilson, Alicia Faust, Lisa Matzner, Chelsea Stratton

### II. Approval of Agenda

Lauterbach made motion to add two items to agenda: Timeline and Scoring Policies/Process that were sent out prior to meeting. Metheny moved, Hannah seconded. Motion passed.

### III. Revised Timeline

Eberbach presented this to the Board to reflect the changes of presenting the applications at this meeting, instead of the dates previously listed. It is still very tentative, until we know more from HUD. Metheny moved to approve, Canganelli seconded, motion passed.

### IV. Scoring Policies/Process

Eberbach presented this document to the Board. It is slightly modified from last year, with the recommendations from the CoC Committee after last year's competition. Changes include no longer funding TH and capping funding on new project applications. Discussion on these policies centered on the cap on funding, with Canganelli noting that the threshold is random. Brustkern noted that the need in each community looks very different and instead of artificial dollar limit, instead looking at counties served. Wickerling responded that the recommendation was being passed along from the committee, but it is not set in stone and can be revised. Eberbach stated that the concern came from seeing a provider in Des Moines get more than half the funds in the community and wanting to prevent that in the BoS. Canganelli asked if adding that in truly gets at the issue or if the concern should be performance. Eberbach noted that it may be best to look at long term goals of CoC. After discussion, it was decided to take out that threshold, but to add in additional questions around the budget in new applications. McGee motioned to strike the one requirement from the document, Rupe seconded, motion passed with Canganelli, Brustkern, and Metheny abstaining. Motion to approve amended policy document by Mather, seconded by Hannah, motion passed with Canganelli, Brustkern, and Metheny abstaining.

#### V. 2020 Funding Applications, Scoresheets, and Rubrics

##### a. Renewal Application

Wickerling walked through Renewal Applications. LGTBQ questions from FY18 as well as the employment questions from FY19 were included to cover all the bases, as there has been no additional guidance from HUD. TH was removed as a project type, and applicants are still asked about ICH participation, as this body did not exist. Canganelli raised concerns about question 5b of discharge from institutions and whether that was fair to ask of individual applicants when it should be a system wide process. There was discussion about leaving this question in to gather information and to use that to form a policy or workteam to address discharge from institutions. Wickerling also went through the Coordinated Entry renewal application as well as the HMIS application. At some point, there will need to be talk of the impact of the CE projects, as treating those projects like a provider is not fair. Applications will be open for feedback until June 11.

##### b. New Project Application

Wickerling walked through the New Project application. All attachments at end this year to assist in process, as well as required signature to sign and submit to verify the submission wasn't a mistake. Canganelli raised the concern about counties served and how that is defined, and noted that the implied definition of counties willing to serve individuals in benefits scattered site projects instead of single site. Conversation on how to best meet the need of service deserts and

whether awarding points for counties is the best way to do so. The Balance of Counties is largely represented in bonus counties question. Wickersham is willing to revise question with any additional feedback from Board and will bring to June 11 meeting. Wickersham's training on the application is scheduled for June 12, so application would need to be approved on that date.

VI. Public Comment

Applications will remain open for comment until the June 11 Board Meeting. Final applications will be approved on that date.

VII. Next Meeting: **June 11, 2020 at 2:00pm**

VIII. Adjourn

Motion by Brustkern, seconded by McGee, motion passed.

