

2018 Iowa Balance of State Continuum of Care (CoC)

5.04.2018 Amended Final Renewal Project Application: Deadline: Friday, May 25, 2018, 11:59 PM

Please be sure to submit the application and ALL attachments, including the Performance Report, as a SINGLE PDF DOCUMENT.

Instructions: Answer the questions below. Include a "Self-Score" as indicated.

APPLICANT NAME AND LOCATION

Organization Name:	Area Substance Abuse Council		
Project Name:	Hightower Place Women and Children Transitional Recovery Program		
Type of Project (Permanent Supportive Housing, Rapid Rehousing, Transitional Housing)	Transitional Housing		
Project Name on the HIC:	Hightower Place Women and Children Transitional Program		
Anticipated Renewal Amount:	\$106,208		
Address:	3601 16th Avenue SW, Cedar Rapids, IA 52404		
Contact Person (w/Email & Phone):	Melissa Walker, mwalker@asac.us, (319) 390-1884 x193		
Secondary Contact (w/Email & Phone):	Barb Gay, bgay@asac.us, (319) 390-4611 x118		
Verify current registration in federal System for Award Management:	Circle or Enter: <u>Yes</u> /No	DUNS #:	54907464

THRESHOLD ASSURANCES

Projects MUST meet ALL of these requirements to be eligible for renewal consideration.

Has the project removed the following barriers to accessing housing and services? Verify that each barrier described does NOT exist:

	Circle or Enter: <u>Not a barrier</u>	Self-Score: Assurances met?	CoC-Score: Assurances met?	Threshold Notes
a. Having too little or no income:				
b. Having a criminal record with exceptions for state-mandated restrictions:				
c. Fleeing domestic violence (e.g., lack of a protective order, period of separation from abuser, or law enforcement involvement):				
d. Having (or not having) a previous address within Iowa:				
e. Failure to comply with HUD's 2016 Gender Identity Rule: (https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/1991/equal-access-to-housing-final-rule/)		yes		

**2018 Iowa Balance of State Continuum of Care
Renewal Project Application DRAFT**

Note: This applies only to projects which were new in 2017 or projects that were new in 2016 AND have been operating for less than twelve months *due to HUD delays in issuing a contract* . If this is the case and you believe that your scores for the following questions may be negatively affected, please attach a concise explanation of the details, including the date your project started, why and how your scores are negatively impacted, and any steps taken to minimize any gaps in project services. Depending on this response and HUD's 2018 CoC Funding NOFA, rulings, and other communications, application reviewers MAY consider scoring adjustments. Questions to which this pertains: 8.a.,d. and e.; 9.c-e; 13.a.; 21.b-c; 21.a1-a2

CONTINUUM OF CARE PARTICIPATION (30 points, questions 1-7)

- 1 **Local Participation:** Since January 2017, have representatives of your project attended meetings as part of planning a Coordinated Entry/Coordinated Services Region that includes your service area OR attended meetings of your organized local planning group? Meeting minutes must be available to verify attendance. List meetings below. (2 points for each meeting attended, up to 10 points)

Representative (Name of Individual)	Region or Planning Group	Date Attended	Self-Score (up to 10)	CoC Score (up to 10)	Scoring Notes
Kelly Reitzler	Healthcare for the Homeless - Coc Committee	4/24/2018			
Kelly Reitzler	Healthcare for the Homeless - Coc Committee	2/27/2018			
Gabe Gluba	Coordinated Entry/Housing Meeting - United Way of Clinton County	9/12/2017			
Gabe Gluba	Clinton County Coordinated Entry Meeting	4/20/2018			
Amy Doerrfeld	Linn County Continuum of Care Planning & Policy Council Meeting	4/24/2017	10		

- 2 **ICH Participation:** Since January 2017, have representatives of your project attended at least three bimonthly meetings of the Iowa Council on Homelessness? Note that anyone can participate in Council meetings even if not a voting member. Posted meeting minutes must be available to verify attendance. (1 point for each meeting attended, up to 3 points)

**2018 Iowa Balance of State Continuum of Care
Renewal Project Application DRAFT**

Representative (Name of Individual)	Date Attended	Three meetings attended? Circle or enter: <u>yes/no.</u>	Self-Score (up to 3)	CoC Score (up to 3)	Scoring Notes	
Melissa Walker	9/15/2017					
Melissa Walker	11/17/2017					
Kelly Reitzler	1/18/2018			3		

**2018 Iowa Balance of State Continuum of Care
Renewal Project Application DRAFT**

- 3 ICH Committee Participation:** Since January 2017, have representatives of your project attended at least three meetings of one or more Iowa Council on Homelessness committees, including work groups? Note that anyone can participate in committee meetings even if not a Council member. Posted meeting minutes must verify attendance. (1 point for each meeting attended, up to 3 points)

Representative (Name of Individual)	Committee	Date Attended	Self-Score (up to 3)	CoC Score (up to 3)	Scoring Notes
Jason Rockwell	Coordinated Entry & Progressive Engagement	10/17/2017	3		
Jason Rockwell	Coordinated Entry & Progressive Engagement	8/15/2017			
Sue Wolever	Omaha Community Planning Y Development CoC & Homeless Grantee Conference Call	4/4/2018			

Other CoC Participation: Have representatives of your project participated in any of the activities described in questions 4-7? Participation records must verify attendance.

- 4 Professional Development and Networking:** Did any project staff attend either the 2017 HUD Peer-to-Peer Symposium OR September 2017 HousingIowa Conference? (2 points for attending either)

2017 HUD Peer-to-Peer Symposium OR September 2017 HousingIowa Conference	Name of attendee	Self-Score (up to 2)	CoC Score (up to 2)	Scoring Notes
Did not attend		0		

- 5 Education:** Since July 2017 have you engaged in educating one or more local officials about your project services and your area's homeless/housing needs? Please attach an email chain or similar documentation to verify. Note: sign-in sheet for March 20, 2018 Day on the Hill will be used as verification for that event. (2 points for participation in such a meeting)

Please make sure that the e-mail chain/documentation makes clear the nature of the meeting, date, name and title of official(s), location, and names of agency staff present.	Self-Score (0 or 2)	CoC Score (0 or 2)	Scoring Notes
Gabe Gluba attended the 2018 Day on the Hill	2		

**2018 Iowa Balance of State Continuum of Care
Renewal Project Application DRAFT**

- 6 **Point in Time Count: Did your agency participate in the street (unsheltered) count in counties served by your project? Count must be recorded with HUD. (3 points for 1 county; 5 points for multiple counties)**

		Self-Score (0,3 or 5)	CoC Score (0,3 or 5)	Scoring Notes
County/Countries:	Linn, Clinton	5		

- 7 **CoC Annual Meeting Participation: Did at least one representative of your project participate in the April 2018 Annual Meeting of Iowa Balance of State CoC Grantees? (5 points)**

		Self-Score (up to 5)	CoC Score (up to 5)	Scoring Notes
Representatives (Names of Individual)	Gabe Gluba, Maribeth Bousmann, Sue Wolever	5		

PROJECT MANAGEMENT (14 points, questions 8-14)

- 8 **Spending History: Refer to the most recently-completed operating year for which an APR has been submitted. (5 points if funds were fully expended [0% unexpended]; 4 points if up to 1% of funds are unexpended; 3 points if up to 2% of funds are unexpended; 2 points if up to 3% of funds are unexpended, 1 point if 4% of funds are unexpended and zero points if 5% or more of funds are unexpended)**

		Self-Score (up to 5)	CoC Score (up to 5)	Scoring Notes
a. Project operating year end-date:	XX/XX/XXXX	5		
b. Grant amount:	\$ 106,208			
c. Total funds expended:	\$ 106,208			
d. Funds remaining (unexpended funds):	\$ -			
e. Unexpended funds percentage (unexpended funds/grant amount):	0%			

- 9 **Annual Performance Report (APR): Refer to most recently-completed year for which an APR was submitted. (1 point if 90-day requirement met)**

		Self-Score (0 or 1)	CoC Score (0 or 1)	Scoring Notes
a. Project operating year end-date:	4/30/2017	1		
b. Date APR submitted to HUD in SAGE:	7/25/2017			
d. Did your project meet the 90-day requirement? Circle or enter:	Yes			
e. If an extension was granted by HUD or SAGE was unavailable, describe. Must have written documentation available upon request.	N/A			

**2018 Iowa Balance of State Continuum of Care
Renewal Project Application DRAFT**

10 HUD Grant Monitoring: (2 points)		Self-Score (up to 2)	CoC Score (up to 2)	Scoring Notes
a. Has HUD monitored the project within the past two years? (If no, STOP and award full 2 points.)	Circle or enter: <u>No</u>	2		
b. Date of monitoring visit:	XX/XX/XXXX			
c. How many findings of noncompliance were documented by HUD? (If greater than 3, stop and award NO points.)	N/A			
d. How many findings of noncompliance have NOT been resolved within the required time frame? (If greater than zero, award NO points. If 3 findings or fewer and all were resolved within the required time frame, award full 2 points.)	N/A			

11 Administration Costs: (1 point if yes)		Self-Score (0 or 1)	CoC Score (0 or 1)	Scoring Notes
Will the amount requested for Administration Costs in the Esnaps project application be no more than 7% or the amount listed on the GIW?	Circle or enter: Yes	1		

12 Timely Compliance: (1 point for each yes; up to 2 points)		Self-Score (1 each)	CoC Score (1 each)	Scoring Notes
a) In the most recently completed project year, did the agency draw down funds from HUD at least quarterly?	Circle or enter: Yes	1		Yes, once system was available
Indicate date on which project contract was executed:	10/30/2017 Day Month Year	1		
b) Does the agency maintain an average of 14 days or less between clients' project start and entry into HMIS?	Circle or enter: Yes	1		

**2018 Iowa Balance of State Continuum of Care
Renewal Project Application DRAFT**

13 Data Completeness: (points described below; up to 2 points)	Circle or enter:	Self-Score (up to 2)	CoC Score (up to 2)	Scoring Notes
Less than 2% missing (null) values in ServicePoint (HMIS or DVIMS) (2 Points) Between 2% and 5% missing (null) values in ServicePoint (HMIS or DVIMS) (1 Point) Higher than 5% missing (null) values in ServicePoint (HMIS or DVIMS) (0 Points)	Less than 2%	2		

14 Exit Destination Errors: (1 point if yes)	Circle or enter:	Self-Score (0 or 1)	CoC Score (0 or 1)	Scoring Notes
Is the total exit destination error less than 20%?	Yes	1		

PROJECT DESIGN (21 points, questions 15-19)

15 Project Type: (10 points)		Self-Score (0 or 10)	CoC Score (0 or 10)	Scoring Notes
Mark one: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> -Permanent Supportive Housing (10 points) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> -Rapid Rehousing (10 points) X-Transitional Housing exclusively for DV, youth, or substance abuse (10 points) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> -Transitional Housing NOT exclusively for DV, youth, or substance abuse (no points)		10		

**2018 Iowa Balance of State Continuum of Care
Renewal Project Application DRAFT**

16 Prioritization of literally homeless or fleeing domestic violence: Refer to the most recently-completed operating year for which an APR has been submitted. (5 points)

a. Total number of participants served by project: (ALL/Adults)	91/43
b. Number of adult participants or head of households (HoH) served that came from the street, other locations not meant for human habitation, emergency shelters, safe havens, or fleeing domestic violence, including less than 90 days in institutions with literally homeless immediately prior:	8
c. Percentage of adult participants served or HoH who entered from the sources above (b)/(a). (5 points for 100%; 4 points for at least 95%; 3 points for at least 90%; 2 points for at least 85%; 1 point for at least 80%; no points for lower than 80%)	19%

Self-Score (up to 5)	CoC Score (up to 5)	Scoring Notes
0		

17 Housing First: Does the project ensure participants are NOT terminated from the project for the following reasons, as evidenced by a written termination policy attached to the application? (select all that apply) Effective date must be evident. (5 points; MUST attach written termination policy for points)

Failure to participate in supportive services and/or failure to make progress on a service plan: (1 point if yes AND matches attached termination policy)	Circle or enter: Yes
Loss of income or failure to improve income: (1 point if yes AND matches attached Termination Policy)	Circle or enter: Yes
Active substance abuse: (1 point if yes AND matches attached termination policy)	Circle or enter: Yes
Any other activity not covered in a lease agreement typically found in the project's geographic area: (1 point if yes AND matches attached termination policy)	Circle or enter: Yes
and ensure that:	
every effort is made to help participants transition to other housing options when continuation in this project is jeopardized or about to expire? (1 point if yes)	Circle or enter: Yes

Self-Score (up to 5)	CoC Score (up to 5)	Scoring Notes
5		

**2018 Iowa Balance of State Continuum of Care
Renewal Project Application DRAFT**

18 Supportive Services: Check below for each statement that is true for this project. (1 point if yes to ALL and completing a. - d.; no points for incomplete response)

Self-Score (0 or 1) CoC Score (0 or 1) Scoring Notes

a. Transportation assistance is provided to clients to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs.	Circle or enter: Yes			
b. At least semi-annual follow-ups are conducted with participants after project exit to ensure verify that mainstream benefits are received and renewed (and for RRH projects, to ensure verify that housing stability is maintained).	Circle or enter: Yes			
c. Project participants have access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance provided by the applicant, a sub-recipient, or partner agency.	Circle or enter: Yes			

PERFORMANCE (34 points, questions 19-20)

19 Successful Client Outcomes & Cost Per Exit: Refer to the most recently-completed operating year for which an APR has been submitted. (4 points for accurately completing all items below)

		Self-Score (up to 4)	CoC Score (up to 4)	Scoring Notes
a. Project Type (PSH, RRH, TH)	TH			
b. Total CoC Project funds spent not including match:	\$ 106,208			4 points total given for rows b and c
c. Cost per permanent housing exit: (Explain your methodology below.)	\$1,587.28	4		

Briefly explain the formula used to arrive at the calculation reflected in 19.c.: \$106,208 divided by total of 91 participants x 68 exits divided by 50 exits to permanent housing

**2018 Iowa Balance of State Continuum of Care
Renewal Project Application DRAFT**

20 Project Evaluation:

Evaluation Criteria	Benchmark/Standard	Number of Clients			Scoring Notes
a1. Number of participants proposed to be served in project 2017 application. (18.a in 2017 renewal application; 15.a in 2017 new project application);	N/A	80-100	Self-Score (0 or 5)	CoC Score (0 or 5)	
a2. Number of participants served in 2017 project year as of April 30, 2018.	100% of grant year completed as of April 30, 2018 based on start date of 4/1/2017	91	5		
If the number served is not on pace to achieve the benchmark set in the application, use this space to explain:					

**2018 Iowa Balance of State Continuum of Care
Renewal Project Application DRAFT**

b1. RRH or TH Only: Percentage of all adult participants who increased <u>total income</u> from entry to exit:	≥25% = 10 Points < 25% = 0 Points	66%
b2. PSH ONLY: Percentage of adults remaining (stayers) who increase <u>total income</u>	≥25% = 10 Points < 25% = 0 Points	N/A

(0 or 10)	(0 or 10)	Scoring Notes
10		
N/A		

c1. RRH or TH Only: Percentage of exits to Permanent Housing: 74%	≥80% = 10 Points 70 - 79% = 5 Points <70% 0 Points
c2. PSH Only: Percentage of successful exits/retention:	≥85% = 10 Points 75 - 84% = 5 Points <75% = 0 Points

(0, 5 or 10)	(0, 5 or 10)	Scoring Notes
5		
N/A		

d1. RRH or TH Only: Percentage of adult participants who met HUD definition of chronically homeless (note: 5 points for youth-focused projects): 30%	≥10% = 5 Points 5 - 9% = 3 Points <5% = 0 Points
d2. PSH Only: Percentage of adult participants who met HUD definition of chronically homeless (note: 5 points for youth-focused projects):	100% = 5 points 96-99% = 4 points 92-95% = 3 points 88-91% = 2 points 85-87% = 1 point <85% = 0 Points

Self-score (up to 5)	CoC Score (up to 5)	Scoring Notes
5		
N/A		


**2018 Iowa Balance of State Continuum of Care
Renewal Project Application DRAFT**

BONUS (1 point)

Award 1 point if all questions have complete responses and all required attachments are included.


Self-Score (0 or 1)	CoC Score (0 or 1)	Scoring Notes
1		

Total (100 max)	Total (100 max)
89	

CERTIFICATION	
I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the responses provided above in this application are true, accurate and complete. I further understand that false or incomplete information may result in this application being ineligible for funding.	
 _____ Primary Contact	5/23/18 _____ Date

SUBMISSION CHECKLIST
Be sure you have: * completed a response and self-score for each item appropriate to your project * <i>attached a response to Note (page 2) if it applies and negatively impacts scoring of 9.c-e; 13.a.; 20.b1.-eb2. or 20.c1-c2</i> * attached a copy of your project's 2018 CoC Project Renewal/Performance Report * <i>attached documentation in support of points claimed for #5</i> * provided the appropriate signature on the CERTIFICATION box above (You may insert a signature electronically or print the page, sign and send as a scanned attachment.) * submit the application and ALL attachments, including the Performance Report, as a SINGLE PDF DOCUMENT. Items in <i>italics</i> are optional.

5. Gabe Gluba- March 20, 2018 Day on the Hill. Met with State Senator Rita Hart at that event to engaged and educate her on ASAC project services and our area's homeless/housing needs. Attached is her Facebook post and picture from event. "Gabe Gluba and Emily Gipe of the Area Substance Abuse Council - ASAC and the YWCA talked with me about homeless. In 2017, 471 lowans in my Senate district were homeless and were offered services. — with Gabe Gluba and Emily Gipe."



Hightower Place Residential Transitional Program

Treatment Philosophy:

Hightower Place residential transitional program is for patients with a substance use disorder identified to be in need of an intensive, structured transitional living situation. A basic assumption, validated with the ASAM tool, is that the individual's substance usage has affected several areas of their life, including family relationships, employment, housing, physical and mental health, communication skills and decision making skills. A further assumption is that the substance usage has caused the individual to become dysfunctional in the home environment, thus creating the need for a structured transitional living situation.

While the individual or family is in the residential facility, the treatment is patient-centered and individualized care plans are generated. Treatment focuses upon the physical, mental, emotional and spiritual spheres of each client.

Another important aspect of the treatment philosophy is that the individual, through their own decision-making processes can dictate their present and future. The focus of treatment is twofold; first the individual is dealt with in the here and now, their current substance abuse problem and the interpersonal interactions established with the staff, their children and the other residents, and then develop individual decision making skills. Abstinence from alcohol, tobacco, and illegal drugs are required while the patient is in treatment. ASAC recognizes that relapse is a part of the recovery process for with a substance use disorder, thus relapse is not an automatic disqualification for this or any of ASAC's programs. Determination for continuation in any of our programs will be based on individual progress, the safety of other patients and children, and the programs ability to adequately address the needs of the patient.

The agency's philosophical approach is that if an individual learns better decision making skills and is exposed to alternative courses of action, that the individual will exhibit better coping skills with their substance use disorder as well as other areas of their life.

Program Objectives:

1. To provide a structured living environment for the individuals and families accepted into the program.
2. To develop and implement a comprehensive, individualized treatment plan for all individuals accepted into the program.
3. To overcome barriers to treatment by providing medical care and childcare for participating individuals and families.
4. To coordinate services provided by other community service providers to individuals and families in the program.
5. To help the individual make a successful transition back into the community with stable housing.

6. To develop a system of follow-up for those who successfully complete, or are terminated from, the program.

Scope of Services:

1. Individual Counseling
2. Group Counseling;
3. Family Counseling;
4. Urinalysis Monitoring;
5. Structured, twenty four hour living setting for residents;
6. Daily scheduled program activities for residents;
7. Children's services;
8. Comprehensive medical care;
9. Assistance with accessing mainstream resources;
10. Assistance in gaining employment/educational opportunities to increase income;
11. Assistance in accessing affordable permanent housing;
12. Follow-up services on all patients who have left the program.

Hightower Place Transition Recovery Program, and all of ASAC's residential programs, are specifically designed to create and maintain a safe, substance free environment within which patients and families can develop the knowledge and skills needed to transition back into the community. The safety of patients and children within these programs are a priority for ASAC. Patients' who comprise this principle of safety can be terminated from the program.

Termination Policy:

Violation or Repeated Violation from adhering to these responsibilities and expectations may, and in many cases will, result in termination from the program.

1. Use of alcohol or other drugs either on or off campus to the extent it creates safety issues for staff or other residents.
2. Possession of alcohol or other drugs, drug paraphernalia, weapons, or other items not authorized by staff.
3. Possession of clothing or other items which promote alcohol or other drug use, tobacco use; which are sexually revealing or suggestive; or which contain gang symbols.
4. Possession of books, tapes, CDs, DVDs, clothing etc, which possesses a message that is contrary to a healthy recovering lifestyle including the use or abuse of alcohol or other drugs, suicide, Satanism, gang involvement, violence or rape, prejudicial or negative messages with regard to sexuality, gender, race or ethnic background, etc.
5. Sexual contact including, but not limited to kissing, fondling, lengthy hugs, caressing, back rubs and sexual intercourse, etc.
6. Smoking or other tobacco use in the bedrooms, apartments or inside buildings.
7. Physical violence, or threat of physical violence against others or property.

8. Hitting or yelling at your children, peers or other resident's children.
9. The development and nurturing of intimate relationships with residents in other components and/or same component.
10. Verbal abuse, name calling, intimidation or other shaming behaviors, or any other actions that is disrespectful.
11. Vulgar language or profanity.
12. Causing damage to equipment or property belonging to ASAC facilities, other residents or people.
13. Participation in or encouragement of others to engage in any illegal activity on or off the ASAC Campus.

Clients will not be terminated from the program solely for the following reasons:

1. Relapse of substance use if patient is willing to address issues causing relapse and staff determine the program can meet the patients' needs to achieve abstinence and relapse does not pose a threat to the recovery program or safety of other residents.
2. Loss of income/employment or failure to increase income.
3. Failure to participate or make progress in supportive services recommended, as long as client adheres to program rules and minimum expectations.

In the event a patient is deemed not appropriate for the program or is not benefiting from the program staff will assess their needs and facilitate referral to a more appropriate primary care or transitional or housing program.

2018 CoC Project Renewal/Performance Report generated on: 5/13/18

Provider	Project Type
Hightower Place - Halfway House (TH)(388)	Transitional housing (HUD)
Number of NEW project entries	67
Intake: Ave Data Entry Delay (DAYS)	6.82
12b) Does the agency maintain an average of 14 days or less between clients' program start and entry into HMIS?	Yes

Completeness																																							
13) What was your project's data completeness in ServicePoint?	Less than 2% missing (null) values																																						
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Vet</th> <th>Relation-ship</th> <th>DOB</th> <th>Race</th> <th>Gen-der</th> <th>Ethn-icity</th> <th>DI</th> <th>Insur-ance</th> <th>Loca-tion</th> <th>Zip</th> <th>Resid-ence Prior</th> <th>LOS</th> <th>HmIs start date</th> <th>DV Surv-ivor</th> <th>Edu</th> <th>Emp</th> <th>Any Income</th> <th>Total Cash Income</th> <th>Any Non-Cash</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>OK</td> <td>OK</td> <td>OK</td> <td>OK</td> <td>OK</td> <td>OK</td> <td>OK</td> <td>OK</td> <td>OK</td> <td>OK</td> <td>OK</td> <td>OK</td> <td>OK</td> <td>OK</td> <td>OK</td> <td>OK</td> <td>OK</td> <td>OK</td> <td>OK</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Vet	Relation-ship	DOB	Race	Gen-der	Ethn-icity	DI	Insur-ance	Loca-tion	Zip	Resid-ence Prior	LOS	HmIs start date	DV Surv-ivor	Edu	Emp	Any Income	Total Cash Income	Any Non-Cash	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	
Vet	Relation-ship	DOB	Race	Gen-der	Ethn-icity	DI	Insur-ance	Loca-tion	Zip	Resid-ence Prior	LOS	HmIs start date	DV Surv-ivor	Edu	Emp	Any Income	Total Cash Income	Any Non-Cash																					
OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK																					

Exit Destination Errors	% Exits
No exit interview completed (HUD)	1%
Data not collected (HUD)	0%
Other (HUD)	0%
Client doesn't know (HUD)	1%
Client refused (HUD)	0%
14) Total exit destination errors	3%

16a) Total number of participants served (All / Adults).	91 / 43
16b) Number of adult participants or head of households (HoH) served that came from the street, other locations not meant for human habitation, emergency shelters, safe havens, or fleeing domestic violence, including less than 90 days in institution with literally homeless immediately prior.	8
16c) Percentage of adult or head of household participants that entered from the sources above (b)/(a).	19%

Increased income: Adult Leavers (RRH & TH ONLY)			
	Prior Year Counts	Current Year Counts	Difference
Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	37	29	-8
Number of adults who exited with increased total income	16	19	3
20b1) Percentage of adults who increased total income	43%	66%	

Increased income: Adult Stayers (PSH ONLY)			
	Prior Year Counts	Current Year Counts	Difference
Number of adults (system stayers)	#MULTIVALUE	#MULTIVALUE	#####
Number of adults who increased total income	#MULTIVALUE	#MULTIVALUE	#####
20b2) Percentage of adults who increased total income	#MULTIVALUE	#MULTIVALUE	

Permanent Housing Placement/Retention: Metric 7			
Metric 7b.1 - Change in exits to permanent housing destinations (TH)			
	Prior Year Counts	Current Year Counts	Difference
Persons in TH who exited	66	68	2
Exited to permanent housing destinations	32	50	18
20c1) Percentage successful exits/retention	48%	74%	

Number of adult participants who met the definition of chronically homeless (note: 2 points for youth-focused projects)	13
20d1 & 20d2) Percentage of adult participants who met the definition of chronically homeless:	30%

User Prompt Field	Value(s) Selected
Provider	Hightower Place - Halfway House (TH)(388)
Enter Prior Year Start Date	4/1/16
Report_StartDate	4/1/17
Report_EndDate + 1 DAY	4/1/18
EDA Provider	-Default Provider-
Enter effective date (should be the day the report was generated)	4/1/18
Report Version:	v04162018