

**2018 Iowa Balance of State Continuum of Care (CoC)**

**5.04.2018 Amended Final Renewal Project Application: Deadline: Friday, May 25, 2018, 11:59 PM**

**Please be sure to submit the application and ALL attachments, including the Performance Report, as a SINGLE PDF DOCUMENT.**

Instructions: Answer the questions below. Include a "Self-Score" as indicated.

**APPLICANT NAME AND LOCATION**

Organization Name:	Shelter House		
Project Name:	Shelter House Rapid Rehousing		
Type of Project (Permanent Supportive Housing, Rapid Rehousing, Transitional Housing)	Rapid Rehousing		
Project Name on the HIC:	Shelter House - CoC & ESG Rapid Rehousing (RRH) (1343)		
Anticipated Renewal Amount:	\$582,246		
Address:	429 Southgate Avenue, Iowa City, IA 52240		
Contact Person (w/Email & Phone):	Crissy Canganelli/crissy@shelterhouseiowa.org/319-338-5416 x200		
Secondary Contact (w/Email & Phone):	Mark Sertterh/mark@shelterhouseiowa.org/319-351-0326		
Verify current registration in federal System for Award Management:	Circle or Enter: Yes	DUNS #:	827151770

**THRESHOLD ASSURANCES**

**Projects MUST meet ALL of these requirements to be eligible for renewal consideration.**

Has the project removed the following barriers to accessing housing and services? Verify that each barrier described does NOT exist:

		Self-Score:	CoC-Score:	Threshold Notes
		Assurances met?	Assurances met?	
a. Having too little or no income:	Not a barrier	Yes		
b. Having a criminal record with exceptions for state-mandated restrictions:	Not a barrier			
c. Fleeing domestic violence (e.g., lack of a protective order, period of separation from abuser, or law enforcement involvement):	Not a barrier			
d. Having (or not having) a previous address within Iowa:	Not a barrier			
e. Failure to comply with HUD's 2016 Gender Identity Rule: ( <a href="https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/1991/equal-access-to-housing-final-rule/">https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/1991/equal-access-to-housing-final-rule/</a> )	Not a barrier			

**2018 Iowa Balance of State Continuum of Care  
Renewal Project Application DRAFT**

Note: This applies only to projects which were new in 2017 or projects that were new in 2016 AND have been operating for less than twelve months *due to HUD delays in issuing a contract* . If this is the case and you believe that your scores for the following questions may be negatively affected, please attach a concise explanation of the details, including the date your project started, why and how your scores are negatively impacted, and any steps taken to minimize any gaps in project services. Depending on this response and HUD's 2018 CoC Funding NOFA, rulings, and other communications, application reviewers MAY consider scoring adjustments. Questions to which this pertains: 8.a.,d. and e.; 9.c-e; 13.a.; 21.b-c; 21.a1-a2

**CONTINUUM OF CARE PARTICIPATION** (30 points, questions 1 -7)

- 1 Local Participation: Since January 2017, have representatives of your project attended meetings as part of planning a Coordinated Entry/Coordinated Services Region that includes your service area OR attended meetings of your organized local planning group? Meeting minutes must be available to verify attendance. List meetings below. (2 points for each meeting attended, up to 10 points)**

Representative (Name of Individual)	Region or Planning Group	Date Attended	Self-Score (up to 10)	CoC Score (up to 10)	Scoring Notes
Mark Sertterh	Johnson Washington CSR	4/10/2018	10		
Mark Sertterh	Johnson Washington CSR	4/5/2018			
Mark Sertterh	Johnson Washington CSR	3/21/2018			
Mark Sertterh	Johnson Washington CSR	7/24/2017			
Mark Sertterh	Johnson Washington CSR	6/19/2017			

- 2 ICH Participation: Since January 2017, have representatives of your project attended at least three bimonthly meetings of the Iowa Council on Homelessness? Note that anyone can participate in Council meetings even if not a voting member. Posted meeting minutes must be available to verify attendance. (1 point for each meeting attended, up to 3 points)**

Representative (Name of Individual)	Date Attended	Three meetings attended? Circle or enter: Yes	Self-Score (up to 3)	CoC Score (up to 3)	Scoring Notes
Crissy Canganelli	3/20/2018	Yes	3		
Crissy Canganelli	11/17/2017				
Crissy Canganelli	9/15/2017				

**2018 Iowa Balance of State Continuum of Care  
Renewal Project Application DRAFT**

- 3 ICH Committee Participation: Since January 2017, have representatives of your project attended at least three meetings of one or more Iowa Council on Homelessness committees, including work groups? Note that anyone can participate in committee meetings even if not a Council member. Posted meeting minutes must verify attendance. (1 point for each meeting attended, up to 3 points)**

Representative (Name of Individual)	Committee	Date Attended	Self-Score (up to 3)	CoC Score (up to 3)	Scoring Notes
Crissy Canganelli	Policy and Planning	7/21/2017	3		
Crissy Canganelli	Policy and Planning	5/19/2017			
Crissy Canganelli	Policy and Planning	4/28/2017			

**Other CoC Participation: Have representatives of your project participated in any of the activities described in questions 4-7? Participation records must verify attendance.**

- 4 Professional Development and Networking: Did any project staff attend either the 2017 HUD Peer-to-Peer Symposium OR September 2017 HousingIowa Conference? (2 points for attending either)**

2017 HUD Peer-to-Peer Symposium OR September 2017 HousingIowa Conference	Name of attendee	Self-Score (up to 2)	CoC Score (up to 2)	Scoring Notes
HUD Peer-to-Peer	Crissy Canganelli, Debbie Peck, Rachel Lehmann	2		

- 5 Education: Since July 2017 have you engaged in educating one or more local officials about your project services and your area's homeless/housing needs? Please attach an email chain or similar documentation to verify. Note: sign-in sheet for March 20, 2018 Day on the Hill will be used as verification for that event. (2 points for participation in such a meeting)**

March 20, 2018 Day on the Hill--Crissy Canganelli	Self-Score (0 or 2)	CoC Score (0 or 2)	Scoring Notes
	2		

**2018 Iowa Balance of State Continuum of Care  
Renewal Project Application DRAFT**

- 6 Point in Time Count: Did your agency participate in the street (unsheltered) count in counties served by your project? Count must be recorded with HUD. (3 points for 1 county; 5 points for multiple counties)**

		Self-Score (0,3 or 5)	CoC Score (0,3 or 5)	Scoring Notes
Yes	County/Countries: Johnson and Washington	5		

- 7 CoC Annual Meeting Participation: Did at least one representative of your project participate in the April 2018 Annual Meeting of Iowa Balance of State CoC Grantees? ( 5 points)**

		Self-Score (up to 5)	CoC Score (up to 5)	Scoring Notes
Representatives (Names of Individual)				
Mark Sertterh and Debbie Peck		5		

**PROJECT MANAGEMENT (14 points, questions 8-14)**

- 8 Spending History: Refer to the most recently-completed operating year for which an APR has been submitted. (5 points if funds were fully expended [0% unexpended]; 4 points if up to 1% of funds are unexpended; 3 points if up to 2% of funds are unexpended; 2 points if up to 3% of funds are unexpended, 1 point if 4% of funds are unexpended and zero points if 5% or more of funds are unexpended)**

		Self-Score (up to 5)	CoC Score (up to 5)	Scoring Notes
a. Project operating year end-date:	12/31/2017			
b. Grant amount:	\$ 474,377			
c. Total funds expended:	\$ 474,377			
d. Funds remaining (unexpended funds):	\$ -			
e. Unexpended funds percentage (unexpended funds/grant amount):	0%	5		

- 9 Annual Performance Report (APR): Refer to most recently-completed year for which an APR was submitted. (1 point if 90-day requirement met)**

		Self-Score (0 or 1)	CoC Score (0 or 1)	Scoring Notes
a. Project operating year end-date:	12/31/2017			
b. Date APR submitted to HUD in SAGE:	3/30/2018			
d. Did your project meet the 90-day requirement? Circle or enter:	Yes			
e. If an extension was granted by HUD or SAGE was unavailable, describe. Must have written documentation available upon request.	Not Applicable	1		

**2018 Iowa Balance of State Continuum of Care  
Renewal Project Application DRAFT**

**10 HUD Grant Monitoring: (2 points)**

**Self-Score (up to 2)      CoC Score (up to 2)      Scoring Notes**

a. Has HUD monitored the project within the past two years? (If no, STOP and award full 2 points.)	Circle or enter: No			
b. Date of monitoring visit:	XX/XX/XXXX			
c. How many findings of noncompliance were documented by HUD? (If greater than 3, stop and award NO points.)				
d. How many findings of noncompliance have NOT been resolved within the required time frame? (If greater than zero, award NO points. If 3 findings or fewer and all were resolved within the required time frame, award full 2 points.)				

2

**11 Administration Costs: (1 point if yes)**

**Self-Score (0 or 1)      CoC Score (0 or 1)      Scoring Notes**

Will the amount requested for Administration Costs in the Esnaps project application be no more than 7% or the amount listed on the GIW?	Circle or enter: Yes			
--	-------------------------	--	--	--

1

**12 Timely Compliance: (1 point for each yes; up to 2 points)**

**Self-Score (1 each)      CoC Score (1 each)      Scoring Notes**

a) In the most recently completed project year, did the agency draw down funds from HUD at least quarterly?	Circle or enter: Yes			
Indicate date on which project contract was executed: <b>Please consider changing the response format to standard U.S. month/day/year format. Day/month/year is standard military and European format not commonly used by the general U.S. public.</b>	02/12/2016 Day    Month    Year	N/A		
b) Does the agency maintain an average of 14 days or less between clients' project start and entry into HMIS?	No			

1

0

**2018 Iowa Balance of State Continuum of Care  
Renewal Project Application DRAFT**

13 Data Completeness: (points described below; up to 2 points)	Circle or enter:	Self-Score (up to 2)	CoC Score (up to 2)	Scoring Notes
Less than 2% missing (null) values in ServicePoint (HMIS or DVIMS) (2 Points) Between 2% and 5% missing (null) values in ServicePoint (HMIS or DVIMS) (1 Point) Higher than 5% missing (null) values in ServicePoint (HMIS or DVIMS) (0 Points)	Less than 2% missing (null) values	2		

14 Exit Destination Errors: (1 point if yes)	Circle or enter:	Self-Score (0 or 1)	CoC Score (0 or 1)	Scoring Notes
Is the total exit destination error less than 20%?	Yes	1		

**PROJECT DESIGN** (21 points, questions 15-19)

15 Project Type: (10 points)	Self-Score (0 or 10)	CoC Score (0 or 10)	Scoring Notes
Mark one:  Rapid Rehousing (10 points)	10		

**2018 Iowa Balance of State Continuum of Care  
Renewal Project Application DRAFT**

**16 Prioritization of literally homeless or fleeing domestic violence: Refer to the most recently-completed operating year for which an APR has been submitted. (5 points)**

**Self-Score (up to 5)      CoC Score (up to 5)      Scoring Notes**

a. Total number of participants served by project: (ALL/Adults)	392/296			
b. Number of adult participants or head of households (HoH) served that came from the street, other locations not meant for human habitation, emergency shelters, safe havens, or fleeing domestic violence, including less than 90 days in institutions with literally homeless immediately prior:	294			
c. Percentage of adult participants served or HoH who entered from the sources above (b)/(a). (5 points for 100%; 4 points for at least 95%; 3 points for at least 90%; 2 points for at least 85%; 1 point for at least 80%; no points for lower than 80%)	99.3%			

4

**17 Housing First: Does the project ensure participants are NOT terminated from the project for the following reasons, as evidenced by a written termination policy attached to the application? (select all that apply) Effective date must be evident. (5 points; MUST attach written termination policy for points)**

**Self-Score (up to 5)      CoC Score (up to 5)      Scoring Notes**

Failure to participate in supportive services and/or failure to make progress on a service plan: (1 point if yes AND matches attached termination policy)	<b>Circle or enter:</b> Yes			
Loss of income or failure to improve income: (1 point if yes AND matches attached Termination Policy)	<b>Circle or enter:</b> Yes			
Active substance abuse: (1 point if yes AND matches attached termination policy)	<b>Circle or enter:</b> Yes			
Any other activity not covered in a lease agreement typically found in the project's geographic area: (1 point if yes AND matches attached termination policy)	<b>Circle or enter:</b> Yes			
<b>and ensure that:</b> every effort is made to help participants transition to other housing options when continuation in this project is jeopardized or about to expire? (1 point if yes)	<b>Circle or enter:</b> Yes			

5

**2018 Iowa Balance of State Continuum of Care  
Renewal Project Application DRAFT**

**18 Supportive Services: Check below for each statement that is true for this project.** (1 point if yes to ALL and completing a. - d.; no points for incomplete response)

**Self-Score (0 or 1)    CoC Score (0 or 1)**

**Scoring Notes**

a. Transportation assistance is provided to clients to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs.	<b>Circle or enter:</b> Yes			
b. At least semi-annual follow-ups are conducted with participants after project exit to ensure verify that mainstream benefits are received and renewed (and for RRH projects, to ensure verify that housing stability is maintained).	<b>Circle or enter:</b> Yes			
c. Project participants have access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance provided by the applicant, a sub-recipient, or partner agency.	<b>Circle or enter:</b> Yes			

**PERFORMANCE** (34 points, questions 19-20)

**19 Successful Client Outcomes & Cost Per Exit: Refer to the most recently-completed operating year for which an APR has been submitted.** (4 points for accurately completing all items below)

a. Project Type (PSH, RRH, TH)	RRH	<b>Self-Score (up to 4)</b>	<b>CoC Score (up to 4)</b>	<b>Scoring Notes</b>
b. Total CoC Project funds spent not including match:	\$ 474,377	2		
c. Cost per permanent housing exit: (Explain your methodology below.)	\$ 1,898	2		

Briefly explain the formula used to arrive at the calculation reflected in 19.c.: Q19.c asks exclusively for cost per permanent housing exit not cost per exit (as indicated in the question header--Cost per exit). Given this the calculation was as follows: The total grant award (\$474,377) divided by the total of individuals exiting to permanent housing (250)  $\$474,377/250 = \$1,898$ . Please note this is not cost per all exits as indicated in the question header nor is this indicative of cost per participant as there are individuals currently enrolled in the program for whom costs have been incurred but have not yet exited. It might be relevant to consider cost per participant and segregate this from the rate of successful exits.



**2018 Iowa Balance of State Continuum of Care  
Renewal Project Application DRAFT**

**20 Project Evaluation:**

Evaluation Criteria	Benchmark/Standard	Number of Clients	Self-Score (0 or 5)	CoC Score (0 or 5)	Scoring Notes
a1. Number of participants proposed to be served in project 2017 application. (18.a in 2017 renewal application; 15.a in 2017 new project application);	N/A	285			
a2. Number of participants served in 2017 project year as of April 30, 2018.	33.3% of grant year completed as of April 30, 2018 based on start date of __01__/_01__/2018__	174	5		
<p>If the number served is not on pace to achieve the benchmark set in the application, use this space to explain: The number served is on pace. However, please note the 2017 project application for Shelter House RRH services technically ties out to an award not yet under contract. The FY17 CoC award will fund the 1/01/2019 through 12/31/2019 project year. Currently programming (1/01/2018-12/31/2018) is funded by the FY16 CoC award. Also, please note in the 2017 project application 18.a did not exist. The response to 16.a was utilized as 16.a inquired about number of participants proposed.</p>					

**2018 Iowa Balance of State Continuum of Care  
Renewal Project Application DRAFT**

			(0 or 10)	(0 or 10)	Scoring Notes
b1. RRH or TH Only: Percentage of all adult participants who increased <u>total income</u> from entry to exit:	≥25% = 10 Points < 25% = 0 Points	35%	10		
b2. PSH ONLY: Percentage of adults remaining (stayers) who increase <u>total income</u>	≥25% = 10 Points < 25% = 0 Points	Not Applicable			

			(0, 5 or 10)	(0, 5 or 10)	Scoring Notes
c1. RRH or TH Only: Percentage of exits to Permanent Housing:	≥80% = 10 Points 70 - 79% = 5 Points <70% 0 Points	70%	5		
c2. PSH Only: Percentage of successful exits/retention:	≥85% = 10 Points 75 - 84% = 5 Points <75% = 0 Points				

			Self-score (up to 5)	CoC Score (up to 5)	Scoring Notes
d1. RRH or TH Only: Percentage of adult participants who met HUD definition of chronically homeless (note: 5 points for youth-focused	≥10% = 5 Points 5 - 9% = 3 Points <5% = 0 Points	21%	5		
d2. PSH Only: Percentage of adult participants who met HUD definition of chronically homeless (note: 5 points for youth-focused projects):	100% = 5 points 96-99% = 4 points 92-95% = 3 points 88-91% = 2 points 85-87% = 1 point <85% = 0 Points				

**2018 Iowa Balance of State Continuum of Care  
Renewal Project Application DRAFT**

**BONUS** (1 point)



Award 1 point if all questions have complete responses and all required attachments are included.

Self-Score (0 or 1)	CoC Score (0 or 1)	Scoring Notes
1		

Total (100 max)	Total (100 max)
93	

**CERTIFICATION**

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the responses provided above in this application are true, accurate and complete. I further understand that false or incomplete information may result in this application being ineligible for funding.

Primary Contact

Date

**SUBMISSION CHECKLIST**

Be sure you have:

- \* completed a response and self-score for each item appropriate to your project
  - \* *attached a response to Note (page 2) if it applies and negatively impacts scoring of 9.c-e; 13.a.; 20.b1.-eb2. or 20.c1-c2*
  - \* attached a copy of your project's 2018 CoC Project Renewal/Performance Report
  - \* *attached documentation in support of points claimed for #5*
  - \* provided the appropriate signature on the CERTIFICATION box above (You may insert a signature electronically or print the page, sign and send as a scanned attachment.)
  - \* submit the application and ALL attachments, including the Performance Report, as a SINGLE PDF DOCUMENT.
- Items in *italics* are optional.

2018 CoC Project Renewal/Performance Report generated on: 5/22/18

Provider	Project Type
Shelter House - CoC & ESG Rapid Rehousing (RRH)(1343)	PH - Rapid Re-Housing (HUD)
Number of NEW project entries	391
Intake: Ave Data Entry Delay (DAYS)	19.57
12b) Does the agency maintain an average of 14 days or less between clients' program start and entry into HMIS?	No

**Completeness**

13) What was your project's data completeness in ServicePoint?											Less than 2% missing (null) values								
Vet	Rela- tion- ship	DOB	Race	Gen- der	Ethn- icity	DI	Insur- ance	Loca- tion	Zip	Resid- ence Prior	LOS	Hmls start date	DV Surv- ivor	Edu	Emp	Any Income	Total Cash Income	Any Non- Cash	
OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	

Exit Destination Errors	% Exits
No exit interview completed (HUD)	0%
Data not collected (HUD)	0%
Other (HUD)	0%
Client doesn't know (HUD)	0%
Client refused (HUD)	0%
14) Total exit destination errors	0%
16a) Total number of participants served (All / Adults).	392 / 296
16b) Number of adult participants or head of households (HoH) served that came from the street, other locations not meant for human habitation, emergency shelters, safe havens, or fleeing domestic violence, including less than 90 days in institution with literally homeless immediately prior.	294
16c) Percentage of adult or head of household participants that entered from the sources above (b)/(a).	99%

**Increased income: Adult Leavers (RRH & TH ONLY)**

	Prior Year Counts	Current Year Counts	Difference
Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	0	264	264
Number of adults who exited with increased total income	0	92	92
20b1) Percentage of adults who increased total income	0%	35%	

**Increased income: Adult Stayers (PSH ONLY)**

	Prior Year Counts	Current Year Counts	Difference
Number of adults (system stayers)	#MULTIVALUE	#MULTIVALUE	#####
Number of adults who increased total income	#MULTIVALUE	#MULTIVALUE	#####
20b2) Percentage of adults who increased total income	#MULTIVALUE	#MULTIVALUE	

**Permanent Housing Placement/Retention: Metric 7**

**Metric 7b.1 - Change in exits to permanent housing destinations (RRH)**

	Prior Year Counts	Current Year Counts	Difference
Persons in RRH who exited	0	357	357
Exited to permanent housing destinations	0	250	250
20c1) Percentage successful exits/retention	0%	70%	

Number of adult participants who met the definition of chronically homeless (note: 2 points for youth-focused projects)	62
20d1 & 20d2) Percentage of adult participants who met the definition of chronically homeless:	21%

User Prompt Field	Value(s) Selected
Provider	Shelter House - CoC & ESG Rapid Rehousing (RRH) (1343)
Enter Prior Year Start Date	4/1/16
Report_StartDate	1/1/17
Report_EndDate + 1 DAY	1/1/18
EDA Provider	-Default Provider-
Enter effective date (should be the day the report was generated)	1/1/18
Report Version:	v04162018



## Rapid Rehousing Termination of Assistance Policy

### Program Exit/Termination:

- Clients may voluntarily end their participation in the Rapid Rehousing program by notifying their case manager that they no longer want to participate. All financial assistance will be terminated at that time.
- Clients who are evicted from their residence by the landlord for lease violations will no longer receive financial assistance for that unit.
- Clients will be informed in writing of a decision to terminate assistance or being exited from the program and given the opportunity to appeal that decision. Clients will receive the termination policy and appeals process at intake.
- Clients will NOT be terminated for lack of participation in supportive services, active substance abuse, lack of income, or not meeting identified goals in the service plan.

### Appeals Process:

Clients who disagree with a staff person's decision to terminate services can appeal the decision. Clients will receive the appeals process at intake. In terminating assistance to a client, staff must adhere to a formal process that recognizes that the individual receiving assistance has the right to due process through the appeals process:

1. Staff will provide the client the termination of assistance policy and the appeals process at time of enrollment.
2. Staff will provide written notice to the client containing a clear statement of the reasons for termination.
3. Client can request a review of the decision in which the client is given the opportunity to present written or oral objections before a person other than the employee (or a subordinate of that employee) who made the termination decision.
4. Staff will provide a prompt written notice within 7 days of the appeal to the participant. If the situation remains unreconciled, a meeting with the Executive Director can be requested. Executive Director's written decision will be given to the client within 7 days of their meeting and will be considered final.

For any other item that a client would like to file a grievance or appeal for, the following process will take place:


1. Client can request a review of the decision in which the client is given the opportunity to present written or oral objections before a person other than the employee (or a subordinate of that employee) for which the grievance or appeal is for.
2. Staff will provide a prompt written notice within 7 days of the grievance or appeal to the participant. If the situation remains unreconciled, a meeting with the Executive Director can be requested. Executive Director's written decision will be given to the client within 7 days of their meeting and will be considered final.

*Updated: 9/10/17*

**Crissy Canganelli**


---

**From:** Vipond, Carole <carole.vipond@iowa.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 10, 2018 11:15 AM  
**To:** Tim Wilson; Amber Lewis  
**Subject:** Fwd: Day on the Hill attendance and contact list



**Carole Vipond, CPA HOMELESS DEPARTMENT ADMINISTRATION**

515-725-4929                      2015 Grand Avenue  
Des Moines, Iowa 50312



All:  
Please take a look at the lists below. These are based on the sign-in sheet at the March 21 Day on the Hill. There are two lists; those who attended as participants and the legislator who stopped by our table and/or were visited in chamber. Please add names of participants, correct any misspellings and add information as well as add names of any legislators who were contacted but are missing. Please indicate changes in red and return them to Tim Wilson at [tim@homeforwardiowa.org](mailto:tim@homeforwardiowa.org) by Friday, April 13.

Thank you,  
Continuum of Care Committee

Amber Tompkins	Primary Health Care
Justy Noble	HACAP
Julie DeRuyter	Rock Rapids Housing

Hannah Brown	?
Jodi Royal Goodwin	Muscatine
Charla Schafer	Muscatine
Sharon G???	Dallas
Carrie Slagle	Waypoint
J'nae Peterman	Waypoint
Carrie Moser	ERP
Patrick Schacherer	ICA
Ehren Stover-Wright	ICA
Gary Wickering	ICA
Courtney Guntley	ICA
Mariliegh Fisher	CHI
Peggy Kost	CIAC
Heather LuGrain	Opening Doors
Ashley Korpmann	Opening Doors?
Kris B?	Opening Doors
Wes B?	??
Heather Edrozo	CIAC
Kate Green	?
Kathy Obah?	?
Jordan Dumolien	HACAP
Mitch Finn	HACAP
Heather Harney	HACAP
Marney Opperman	YSS
Hope Metheny	YSS
Crissy Canganelli	Shelter House
Julie ?	IT
Mitch Ruhody?	IT
Sandi Hurtado-Peters	DOM
Helen Dagley	DSM
Loyce Godwin	Indianola (agency?)
Gary Coleman	?
Sherill Whisenand	Dept. of Human Rights
Ben Brustkern	Friends of the Family
Sarah Schmitz	Friends of the Family
Carlee Kearns	Friends of the Family



Dennis Lauterbach	ICH
Caitlin B?	CFlowa
Lori Jensen	CFlowa

Amanda Loder	?
Emily Gipe	YWCA of Clinton
G. G.	ASAC
Cassandra Gripp	Humility of Mary
Cathy Jordan	Humility of Mary
Latoya Lewis	United HealthCare
Jennifer Tibbetts	Catherine McAuley Ctr.
Amber Lewis	IFA
David Eberbach	ICA
Julie Eberbach	ICA
David Binner	ICH
Tim Wilson	Home Forward Iowa

Legislator	District
Nate Boulton	S16
Amanda Ragan	S27
Tod Bowman	S29
Liz Mathis	S34
Bob Dvorsky	S37
Kevin Kinney	S39
Joe Bolkom	S43
Chris Hall	H13
Scott Ourth	H26
John Landon	H37
Kevin Koester	H38
Jake Highfill	H39

John Forbes	H40
Jo Oldson	H41
Michael Bergen	H55
Art Staed	H66
Ashley Hinson	H67
Ken Rizer	H68
Phil Miller	H82
Jerry Kearns	H83
Dave Heaton	H84
David Kerr	H88
Gary Carlson	H91

*Confidentiality Notice: The information in this email may be confidential and/or privileged. This email is intended to be reviewed by only the individual or organization named above. If you are not the intended recipient or an authorized representative of the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination or copying of this email and its attachments, if any, or the information contained herein is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify the sender by return email and delete this email from your system.*

*Treasury Circular 230 Disclosure: To the extent this communication contains any statement regarding federal taxes, that statement was not written or intended to be used, and it cannot be used, by any person (i) as a basis for avoiding federal tax penalties that may be imposed on that person, or (ii) to promote, market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.*